

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,237 12/30/2003		Jeong-Hoon Kim	11038-133-999	8368	
24341 75	90 11/28/2005	EXA		INER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.			NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/749,237	KIM, JEONG-HOON	KIM, JEONG-HOON		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Lan Nguyen	3683			

3	Examine	Aut Ollic				
	Lan Nguyen	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief,	will not be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. Other:	, ,		,			
		Lan Negun Lan Nguyen	11/22/05			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3683				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the record, there are no amendments submitted with the Response filed 11/07/05. The Response filed 11/07/05 has been found to be non-persuasive. Applicant argues that Kurita dos not disclose any cushion blocks because electromagnets can not be construed as cushions. First, the claims do not specify the specific structures of the cushion blocks in order to exclude electromagnets to be construed as cushion blocks. Secondly, Kurita's electromagnets are performing the task of cushioning element 1b the same as Applicant's cushion blocks 5 cushioning element 11 Applicant also argues the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. It is maintained that claims 2 and 3 are repeating claims since claim 1 has already claimed the structure of the variable stiffness means as disclosed in the specification and equivalents thereof. The rejections are still deemed proper and are maintained as stated in the Final Rejection dated 8/4/05.